



Assignment Design Policy

Policy Title	Assignment Design Policy							
Staff type	Non Academic X All staff							
Approved by	Head of Education / Academic Board							
Owner	Head of Quality							
Date Approved	May 2022							
Date of Review	June 2024 Date of next review: August 2025							
Version	1.1							
	Staff Access							
Publication	Student Access X							
	Public Access via Point Blank website							

Document Revision History

Version Log

Committee / Approval Date	Author	Version	Publication Date	Page Reference & Summary
Quality and Standards Committee – 13.08.24	Head of Education & Curriculum	1.1 (updated 26th June 2024)	October 2024	Page 4 – minor spelling amendments Page 7 – amendments to policy titles

Related Documentation

Document Reference No. (Policy version / Supporting doc. #)	Document Type	Link or Dept. Owner	Document Title
62	Policy	Education & Curriculum	Marking, Moderation & Feedback Policy
1	Policy	Registry	Academic Appeals Policy
39	Policy	Registry	Resubmission and Retake Policy
3	Policy	Registry	Academic Misconduct Policy

Contents

1.	Purpose and definitions	3
2.	Scope	3
3.	Policy General Statement	3
4.	Assessment Limits	4
5.	Further information and support	7

1. Purpose and definitions

- 1.1. Assessment enables the School to measure student ability, knowledge and practice following teaching during the term and their overall academic and professional performance. This policy aims to ensure the School provides students with fair and appropriate opportunities for assessment and feedback, via a range of assessment methods relevant to the module aims and required level of difficulty.
- 1.2. Assessment in definition for the purpose of this policy includes both formative and summative assessment tasks.
- 1.3. A key purpose of the policy is to require that summative assessments should enable all students to demonstrate that they have met and fulfilled the learning outcomes of the programme of study. Marking rubrics should enable markers with the appropriate guidance to recognise levels of aptitude and the ways that students can show that they have met the learning outcomes and national standard expectations required for the award(s).
- 1.4. In conjunction with this policy, the School also requires all students to be given substantial opportunities for feedback/feedforward and constructive criticism via formative assessment, 1-2-1s, in class-activities and reviews throughout the term. All modules must include formative assessment. The School recognises and supports the process of encouraging students to self-evaluate their learning and progress throughout the term leading to summative assessment.

2. Scope

2.1. This policy applies to all higher education students studying at Point Blank Music School.

3. Policy General Statement

- 3.1. Assessment requirements should clearly relate to the learning outcomes, and enable all students to reflect their achievements, skills or knowledge in fulfilling programme/module learning outcomes via inclusive assessment.
- 3.2. Assessment must be student-centered with consideration of diversity, equality and inclusively accommodating any additional learning needs as required. Where further inclusion or accommodation is required, alternative assessments must be made available for students requiring additional learning support must be made available to ensure they are assessed without disadvantages. These must take into account the learning needs of the student whilst being as closely equivalent to the original assessment in difficulty and workload, within reason. Alternative forms of assessments must also enable students a fair opportunity to demonstrate their abilities to meet the learning outcomes whilst maintaining the original pedagogical aims and purpose of the module.

- 3.3. Alternative assessments must take into consideration reasonable adjustments as required for individual students with learning needs or disabilities and be in line with the Equality Act 2010. Reasonable adjustments may be required where students with disabilities and those with temporary or long-term learning needs and conditions would otherwise experience disadvantage in comparison to other students, if they were to be assessed without reasonable adjustments.
- 3.4. All assessments must have clearly articulated assessment criteria or a marking rubric clearly linked and accessible from the assignment brief. All assignment briefs must have clearly articulated requirements, with further guidance as necessary on related technical requirements or limits.
- 3.5. Assessment design must be informed by and include the following:
 - Peer reviewed and evidence based pedagogic practice
 - Integrated contributions and feedback from reputable industry representatives to ensure relevancy to current industry practice
 - Feedback from students or alumni, academic staff relevant to the module and external examiners
 - National standard of award requirements; regulatory/professional requirements

4. Assessment Limits

- 4.1. Credits and notional learning hours:
 - 1 credit = 10 hours
 - 20 credits = 200 hours (contact hours inc. 1-2-1s, teaching, independent study hours, assessment preparation, assessment)
 - As guidance, assessment should comprise approximately 20% of the learning hours students will spend on creating the submission, with the remaining time comprised of e.g. preparation, teaching hours, as above.
 - Assessment must always contribute and take account of the notional learning hours required for the module as above, inclusive of class teaching and contact hours.
- 4.2. To ensure students are not over assessed, all assessments must not exceed the following limits in design. It should be noted that the School understands and accommodates for levels of difficulty in the assessment design which may require a flexible range of limits.
 - E.g. a 2000 word count limit may account for a 50% weighting of a module normally, which requires other assignments to comprise the remaining outstanding weighting.
 - Exceptionally, lower limits are acceptable if the assignment design is evidenced to be equally challenging. There must be justifications in requiring a lower the word count limit, as long as the assessment still enables a student to fully demonstrate that they met the learning outcomes. The School fully supports innovative assignment design which does not limit pedagogical creativity. A student should still realistically spend an appropriate proportion of the notional learning hours on the assignment task and marking criteria should recognise where a student has not met module requirements.

Assessment limits:

Туре	Limit	Limit	Limit	Limit	Weighting	# of	Comments
	requirement Level 3	requirement Level 4	requirement Level 5	requirement Level 6		recommended LOs	/ notes
Practical – Composition / Production	4 mins	6 mins	7mins	Up to 15 mins or equiv.	50% / 70%	2/3	e.g. track length
Written - Essay			1500 words		50%	2	
Written – Short technical / ref. commentary	1200 words	1200 words	1500 words	2000 words	25%	2	
Case Study	1500 words	1500 words			25% / 5 credits	2	
Presentation	15mins	15mins	15 mins	20 mins	50% / 10 credits	2	
Presentation commentary over project		10 mins	15 mins	15 mins			
Exam	1 hour	1 hour	1 hour, 30mins	2 hours	50%	2	
In-class test				90 mins	50%	2	Levels 3, 4, 5
Project with notes	16 bars or 5mins + 350 words	32 bars or 6mins + 350 words		Up to 1.5hrs	50%		Final year projects
Practical – Mixes				Up to 15 mins / 30 mins	50% / 70%	2/3	
Live performance	20mins			Up to 15 mins	50%	2	
Viva				30 mins	50%	2	

Projects /	 	 		
Portfolio			ļ	

Normal assessment equivalencies (exceptions to the table below must be justified and approved by the Programme Leader and Head of Education).

Assessment type	Min equiv. Level 3	Min equiv. Level 4	Min equiv. Level 5	Min equiv. Level 6	Credit weighting	Notional learning hours directly on creating submission
Practical – Composition / Production	2.5 mins / 8 bars	1 minute	1 minute	1 minute	10	20
Written - Essay	1000 words	1250 words			10	20
Case Study	1200 words	1250 words	1250 words	1350 words	5	10
Written pitch	500 words	500 words	600 words	800 words		
Written – Technical / commentary	600 words	800 words	1000 words	1250 words	5	10
Presentation commentary over project		8 minutes	10 minutes	10mins	5	10
Presentation (traditional)	8 mins	10 mins	10mins	12 mins	10	20
Exam	1 hour	1 hour	1 hour, 30mins	2 hours	10	20
Portfolio (project with notes)	8 bars or 2.5 mins + 200 words	16 bars or 3 mins + 250 words			10	20
Viva		30 minutes			10	20
Group essay/presentation		800 words p/p;			10	10 per person

		8-10 minutes p/p			
Projects / Portfolio			 	20	40
Practical – Mixes		10 minutes		10	20
Live performance	5 mins	10 minutes		10	20

5. Further information and support

5.1. Staff members may contact their relevant Programme Leader, Head of Education and Curriculum or the Head of Quality for further information. It is also recommended that staff members refer to the latest Marking, Moderation & Feedback Policy, Academic Appeals Policy, Academic Misconduct Policy or Resubmission and Retake Policy.